

also overlay the private pension systems, the multi-employer pension systems, all the other shortfalls, and if any Member here uses the words “retirement security” and isn’t bathing in fixing these numbers, they should be ashamed of themselves.

Madam Speaker, I apologize for the amount of caffeine I have had today, but I am not here to be hyperbolic. I am here to beg of this place to stop chasing the daily shiny object that may get us some press, get us a few minutes on cable television. This is the hard work we are elected to fix, and it is also our moral obligation to save the future.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

REALITY TV PROGRAMMING TONIGHT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 4, 2021, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PERRY) for 30 minutes.

Mr. PERRY. Madam Speaker, reality TV. I think most of us are familiar with reality TV. You are going to see some of that tonight. Now, when reality TV first started, people watched that, they were enthusiastic about it, maybe a little intoxicated at times.

I don’t know how many shows you had to watch. I don’t know how many iterations you had to watch, Madam Speaker, before you figured out, well, this really isn’t reality. This isn’t even real, right? The drama was contrived, the relationships made up. I suppose it was all to make you feel better about your own life, watching the crazy, unhinged existence of these aberrant things on TV.

Ladies and gentlemen, you are going to see some more reality TV tonight, on this January 6th alleged committee. I call it an alleged committee because it is not really a committee. There is no minority. There is no minority on the committee. I know because I am in the minority. When you have a committee basis, you have the majority, they pick their members, and the minority picks their members. But when the majority picks members for the minority, they are the majority. It is all one side. What you are going to hear is a one-sided tale.

Madam Speaker, this is not a court of law, but it is kind of like trying to be one in front of the public, trying to act like it is a court of law for the public to decide. It is a show trial. This is a Soviet-style show trial.

Unfortunately, there are huge things happening in people’s lives right now. They are paying the highest prices they have ever paid to drive back and forth to work or to daycare or to get their kids to school or if they can even afford a vacation. If you have got a small child, you are worrying every day, am I going to be able to get formula to feed my child? Of course, we have got this border crisis, cost of liv-

ing, supply chain, all that stuff, and we are spending millions of dollars.

This should actually be a campaign contribution to my friends on the left. The FEC should make them file a report. Millions and millions of taxpayer dollars for a show trial, a Soviet-style charade.

How do we know? We know so many ways. We know in so many ways. Like I said, we are going to get one side of a story. The outcome has already been determined, Madam Speaker, by the people on this so-called committee. They issued subpoenas. But they didn’t tell the people they issued the subpoenas to; they told the press. Does that sound like how things normally go in a court of law where due process is happening?

But this isn’t a court of law. You are just supposed to believe it is one. You are also supposed to believe there is due process. But there isn’t. You are supposed to believe that this is seeking the truth, that this is seeking some kind of justice, that this is a fact-finding mission for which the legislature will then promulgate laws to make sure that mistakes made in the past never happen again.

Ladies and gentlemen, it couldn’t be further from the truth.

How do I know? How do you know? Because these folks have hired the producer from ABC for this prime time show. We could be having this alleged hearing right now. I am here right now. My colleagues are here right now. Madam Speaker, you are in the chair right now, but this is being delayed until prime time with a TV producer, because it is a show. That is all it is.

These are the same folks that if you want to call it evidence, they took somebody’s text message, and they changed it to say what they wanted it to say. If it is evidence, they just tampered with evidence.

Madam Speaker, this is an abomination. This is an outrage. This is an affront to our American Republic and to the order and the rule of law and to justice.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS), my good friend, for some comments about what is going to happen, what you are going to see tonight.

Mr. BIGGS. Madam Speaker, it is my pleasure to take a few minutes.

The first point I will talk about, the most fundamental aspect that this needs to be contextualized with is that the Democrats have basically corrupted every institution in America, not the least of which is this institution, not the least of which are the committees and the roles of committees.

The gentleman from Pennsylvania said this is a show trial. He is exactly right. This is designed for television. It is not designed to find truth. It is not designed to say, let’s come up with a legitimate legislative purpose, which is what the Supreme Court says you have to have if you are going to have a sub-

poena in the first place. You have to have a legitimate purpose. They don’t have any.

So these are the same folks that sit on this committee, that are running this committee. Don’t forget, they ran the two sham impeachments. The last sham impeachment was such a debacle, such an embarrassment to our institutions and the Constitution that the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court said, I am not going to show up.

So who do we have and what do we see? Well, they are not going to talk about tonight that four witnesses—four witnesses have testified under oath that 4 days before January 6, President Trump authorized up to 20,000 National Guard troops. Why won’t they present that? Because it is indicia of what we would call in law the mens rea or culpability, your state of mind. And the state of mind said, we have to protect the Capitol.

□ 1330

What that means is there is no intention to incite. There is no intention to cause harm. But you are not going to hear about that, even though that has been testified to four different times.

The FBI has indicated pretty clearly that there was no collusion by President Trump to incite a riot on January 6. In fact, no collusion by President Trump or by any Member of Congress.

In fact, FBI Director, Christopher Wray, testified in the Committee on the Judiciary that he could not call what happened there an insurrection. But that is not what you are going to hear from the Democrats because they love that term, because they are all about hyperbole.

How about our former colleague, Denver Riggleman, a former Republican working for this committee? What did he say? Just the other day, he said: “There is no smoking gun indicating that President Trump planned for the U.S. Capitol to be overrun by his supporters.” But you are not going to hear that because this is—as they have accidentally said a couple of times—not about finding the truth but about narrative-building, and distracting the American public from the disaster that the Biden administration, Speaker PELOSI and her Democrats in the House, CHUCK SCHUMER and his Democrats in the Senate, has perpetrated, has foisted upon the American people.

So you know what the Democrats want? They don’t want us talking about: It costs me 85, 90 bucks to fill up my car with gas.

They don’t want you talking about that.

They don’t want you talking about: Hey, the size of that pack of tortillas that I just bought last week before it came out, they used to look like the regular corn tortillas. Now they look like mini tortillas. Same price, the same packaging.

They don’t want you talking about that.

They don't want you talking about: Hey, kids, we are not going to be able to go on vacation this year.

They don't want you talking about any of that because that is what Americans are talking about.

They don't want you talking about the border. They don't want you talking about that.

When I was down to the border twice last week—two different borders, three different sectors—everywhere I walked, there were people coming up. You know what these folks told me? They said, “We love Joe Biden.” In fact, in Mexico he has got a 52 percent approval rating, while he is only 32 percent in the United States.

They want us to not talk about that stuff. They want to distract us. But the bad news for them is this: The reason you have to bring in an ABC producer is because your show stinks and that committee reeks, and no amount of production is going to give Liz Cheney charisma. Sorry to say. No amount of it is going to change and take that apart.

I know that there are others who want to talk about this but I just have to ask four or five things, if I can, Mr. PERRY.

Mr. PERRY. Absolutely.

Mr. BIGGS. Why is NANCY PELOSI off limits? Why isn't she testifying before that committee?

Oh my goodness, we can't ask her what happened. We can't ask her what she knew, what she didn't know.

We can't ask her why she didn't approve and encourage Mayor Bowser to accept the authorized and offered 20,000 National Guard troops.

Why has the committee not released 14,000 hours of video of January 6? Why is that missing?

Why has the committee selectively, without appropriate context, leaked documents or testimony?

Why did ADAM SCHIFF come out here and put up a poster and later have to admit that, yeah, he had doctored the poster?

Because they are lying. They altered evidence, as Mr. PERRY said.

I will just close with this: Committee member, JAMIE RASKIN, he loves to say that anybody who questions an electoral outcome of 2020 is telling the big lie.

Of course, he questioned the 2016 election outcome. Was that the big lie? Hillary Clinton questioned that.

Joe Biden has already said the 2022 midterms are going to be illegitimate. Why? Because he is going to get his butt kicked, that is why.

I will tell you something. JAMIE RASKIN selectively edited video he used in the second Trump impeachment just a few days before the President was going to be vacating the Oval Office. If anyone is persisting in telling a big lie, it is members of the J6 Committee.

That is why they have to bring in a producer. That is why they deleted the tweet from their star witness from tonight. And what did he say back then?

He said the Proud Boys were organizing together.

How many police were there at 11:22 on the steps of the Capitol? I Count 1.

This is a deliberate act. And he wasn't talking about the people who came in. He said someone in authority left the door open and the mob walked in. That is their witness tonight. They deleted that text.

This is an illegitimate committee. You are going to see illegitimacy on display meant to deceive the American people. That is what happens in former Soviet Union. That is what happens in Venezuela. That is what happens whenever tyrants and authoritarians get a little bit of power or think they have any.

Mr. PERRY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS).

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. NEHLS).

Mr. NEHLS. Madam Speaker, Representatives PERRY and BIGGS speaking the truth here today.

Madam Speaker, listen to these words: “Sense of desperation and disappointment may lead to more of an incentive to become violent. Congress itself is the target. There has been a worrisome call for protestors to come to these events armed, and there is a possibility that protestors may be inclined to become violent. Propensity to attract white supremacists, militia members, and others who actively promote violence may lead to a significantly dangerous situation for law enforcement and the general public alike.”

These words are taken directly from the intelligence assessment on January 3. So why didn't they request the National Guard? Why were the Capitol Police so ill-prepared?

A couple of months ago, I had the opportunity to question then-D.C. National Guard Commander, General Walker. Now he is the House Sergeant at Arms.

I asked General Walker, I said, “General, if the National Guard would have been on our Nation's Capitol on January 4 as the intelligence called for, would January 6 have ever happened?” And he said no.

And I agree, General, I agree.

Capitol Police leadership had the intelligence days and weeks in advance and did nothing with it. They let it happen, folks. The Capitol Police leadership team failed, and this sham committee continues to give them a pass.

These hearings are clearly not about finding the truth. They are a sad attempt to put the blame on Donald Trump. This committee—make no mistake—this committee doesn't want to see Donald Trump as the Republican nominee in 2024 because they can't beat him.

Never in the history of Congress has the majority party used their power to smear, destroy, and intimidate the minority party ahead of an election so brazenly on a public stage. They know

they can't win elections fairly, so they will use every dirty trick in the book and try to cheat their way to a win. But the American people know better. They can see through this political theater and their voices will be heard at the polls in November of this year and in 2024.

Mr. PERRY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Texas. He is absolutely right.

Look, I don't think it is a mystery that many of us on this side of the aisle have no love for the FBI Director. It would be my choice to remove him immediately and get somebody effective that would do the job and restore the dignity of the FBI. But that having been said, he said there was no insurrection. He said there was no collusion.

And as you already probably know—or maybe you don't—you won't find out tonight—the President of the United States at that time ordered 20,000 troops to be authorized to come to protect the Capitol days in advance.

And as I said the day after, on January 7, I asked: What did the Speaker know and when did she know it? But we are not going to find that out, Madam Speaker. We are never going to know watching this show trial. This is something from a Third World country, where we use the instruments of Federal power to prevail upon and against our political adversary. That is what is happening right now.

What did the Speaker know and when did she know it? And what about the 20,000 troops that were authorized by the President of the United States in advance but never asked for by the Speaker of the House—and as a matter of fact, declined by the Mayor of Washington, D.C.

Madam Speaker, this is not the Mayor's city. This is not the Mayor's capital of the United States. This is the American people's capital. She has a duty and she failed in that duty. And now this sham organization called a committee here, where the Vice President is also the ranking member—not chosen by the minority. They just made it up. They could have chosen anybody off the street and said, that is who the ranking member is. And that is what they did because the verdict is already in for them.

They already know. They already know what the outcome is for them. There is no due process here. There is no fairness here. There is no other side of the story here. There is just a prosecution where you stand there with your mouth taped shut. You can't call any witnesses. You can't have your attorney. Then they would like to convict people and send them away forever and never be heard from again. And that is what this is; the silencing of the American people.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentlewoman from Georgia (Mrs. GREENE).

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding. I really appreciate him bringing up these very important details

that I highly doubt we will hear anything about from the January 6 Committee.

You know, there are some very interesting facts that came out today, as a matter of fact. Reporting on the failure of this Chamber, this Capitol to be secured.

And I will tell you something. On January 6, I was a brand new Member of Congress.

Mr. PERRY. How many days had you been here, Representative GREENE?

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. January 3 was my first day on the job.

Mr. PERRY. So you had been here three days.

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. That is right. Three days.

And I looked at the Capitol and thought this was the most secure building that I could possibly be in, at least in this city, possibly in the country, because it is our Nation's Capitol. And tragically, we found out that it was not. I was shocked by that.

What amazes me is the overwhelming amount of evidence that the National Guard was requested to be here and it was continuously turned down. And the biggest shocker to me is that there were three people that turned it down. It was CHUCK SCHUMER in the Senate, NANCY PELOSI in the House, and Mayor Muriel Bowser.

Mr. PERRY. If I could interject for just a moment, who is in charge of security here in the House of Representatives?

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. The Sergeant at Arms.

Mr. PERRY. Employed by?

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. NANCY PELOSI.

Mr. PERRY. Right. The Speaker.

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. The Speaker of the House is the one in charge of the House Sergeant at Arms.

And the House Sergeant at Arms and the Senate Sergeant at Arms turned down the request for the National Guard to keep all of us safe from the threats that they knew existed.

Mr. PERRY. Are we going to find out if they got ordered to do that by the Speaker or by the leader in the Senate? Are we going to find that out tonight?

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. No, we will not hear that from the January 6 Committee that spent millions of taxpayer dollars supposedly investigating January 6. I highly doubt we will hear that. But I want you to know that when we take back the majority, these will be the investigations that we take on.

Now, I want you to know some other things that really bother me. As we have spoken about the failure and absolutely purposeful refusal to protect this Capitol by NANCY PELOSI, the Speaker of the House, CHUCK SCHUMER, and Mayor Muriel Bowser, these are the failures. They did it on purpose.

There are other things I don't think we will hear about tonight, and I remind everyone.

Number one, we still do not know who the pipe bomber is. Who is the per-

son? There are videos everywhere. It is all over the FBI website but we don't hear that coming out consistently from the January 6 Committee.

You know what else we don't hear enough about? We do not understand what is happening to the over 800 people who have been arrested and charged for the events on January 6. We don't know what is happening to them, and there are dozens of them right here in this city wasting away in the D.C. jail, being treated like political prisoners of war.

And you know what? This is before they have been convicted of anything. They are there pretrial. And no one cares about them. No one on this January 6 Committee dares to ask a question, what is happening to these people and why their due process rights are being so flagrantly and horrifically violated, pretrial. Pretrial, they are sitting in that jail.

Do you know they have begged to go to Guantanamo Bay because they think terrorists are treated better than they are treated here? But no one cares about them.

□ 1345

These people have been arrested and charged, and they are wasting away in jail.

Do you want to know something else that we are probably not going to hear about? What about the fact that there is a man named Ray Epps? Do you know who is not in the D.C. jail? Ray Epps. Ray Epps is not in the D.C. jail, and I know because I went in the D.C. jail. I did not see him there. He also is on video over and over again telling people to go in the Capitol.

Mr. PERRY. I am sure we will hear about Ray Epps this evening.

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. I don't think we are going to hear about Ray Epps. I don't think so. I don't think he is on the witness list.

Do you know who else we probably won't hear about? The man on the scaffolding, the so-called scaffolding commander that told the crowd, told them, sent them, gave them orders to go in the Capitol. Storm the Capitol.

I haven't heard about him being questioned, have you?

Mr. PERRY. No, I have not.

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. No. Do you want to know something else? Here is a woman we don't hear anything about, a woman named Rosanne Boyland, who was trampled in the tunnel, trampled to death. We never hear anything about this woman. She died in the tunnel of this Capitol, and I saw the video myself when I was in the D.C. jail, from one of the people being held there pretrial, by the way, of her body being drug across the floor, and then it was taken somewhere else.

Do you know what happened when they were dragging her body away? They pulled her away from someone that was giving her CPR. She was pulled away from lifesaving CPR. Why did that happen? Are we going to hear

about that from the committee tonight? I doubt it. They don't care about Rosanne Boyland.

Here is my major issue. We are representatives of the people of the United States of America, and all I hear from everyone in this body is all they care about is themselves. The American people are suffering from so many things happening from the decisions of this body, but the people in this body and the people in that committee don't care about Rosanne Boyland from Georgia, by the way. They don't care about her family. They don't care about justice for them. They don't care about anything else but, oh, what happened to us on January 6.

Well, what happened to the American cities in 2020 that were burned and looted and destroyed because of BLM violence? Nothing. All that money raised on ActBlue for BLM? It went in their pockets. No one paid to rebuild those communities. Not at all.

You know who else we probably won't hear about is Michael Byrd, who shot and killed Ashli Babbitt right out there. We don't hear about his reckless record of guns, which is all we have talked about all week in here. We aren't hearing about that. Why aren't we hearing about that record? Why? Why isn't he standing trial? He is not. He just gets to get away with it. It is on video; I bet you we won't see this video, but I have seen it. It is out there.

Did you know Ashli Babbitt was trying to stop people from breaking in? That is on video. I have watched it over and over. She was trying to stop people from breaking in, and then she was shot and killed.

Yes, a lot happened on January 6. Do you know what else—and the American taxpayers pay for this—there are surveillance cameras all over this building. If we really want to know the truth about January 6, it is real easy. All we have to do is release the video footage, and everyone can see for themselves what exactly happened. I think the American people deserve that while they have to watch and go through this big cinematic production tonight and carrying on for weeks and weeks and weeks. And the American people pay for it, by the way. The American people deserve to see all the video surveillance, not just the little cut and pasted pieces that the January 6th Committee is going to show tonight.

Most of all, I want to finish with this: There is something terrible happening in this process, and it is called defamation of character. The 45th President of the United States' character is being defamed and all of his staff and his family and all Republicans. Everyone's reputation is being defamed as lies are being told about all of us and President Trump just for politics, and it makes me sick. It absolutely disgusts me.

If we are supposed to represent the American people, and we are supposed to do a good job and uphold this place

with honor and keep its reputation good for the people we serve, then we should be truthful. But what is about to happen tonight is not going to be truthful. It is going to be a political narrative, and it is all for politics. It is sickening.

Mr. PERRY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for her comments. Like I said, if you have ever seen reality TV, you know in just a couple episodes it is not really reality.

Now, I don't know how many of these you will go through this evening, and we just have a couple of minutes remaining, but I yield to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS), my good friend, to conclude with some of his thoughts before we wrap up here this afternoon.

Mr. BIGGS. Madam Speaker, as I watch what this January 6th Committee has done, as it unfolds, and the constant attack of President Trump, here is what I find interesting: It wasn't too very long ago that CHUCK SCHUMER stood with a rabble attacking the United States Supreme Court. CHUCK SCHUMER said: We are going to come up on you like, Justices Kavanaugh and Gorsuch, like a whirlwind. You will know.

He made threatening comments. And guess what? Last night, there was an assassination attempt. A gentleman has been arrested for attempted murder of Justice Kavanaugh. Not a peep from the President, not a peep from NANCY PELOSI, not a peep from anybody here.

You had a President who said let's march peacefully up to the Capitol. Let's let them know you are here. Let's fight for our rights. Somehow, that is incitement.

That is not incitement, but this committee that is there, they don't care about the truth. They don't care about equity. I don't even like saying that term, "equity." How about equality before the law? They never are concerned with due process or equality before the law. That is a political, sham, narrative-building exercise to divert the attention of the American people away from the disastrous Biden policies.

Mr. PERRY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his comments. In the remaining 40 seconds I have, I will close by saying every day Americans wake up and they think it can't get any worse, yet somehow every day there is something new that actually makes it worse. Tonight is going to be no different. We are going to push the envelope to places we have never gone, a show trial right here in the Halls of Congress as a Supreme Court Justice is under threat.

This is literally like a Third World country, and we have leaders in this government calling for Justice Kavanaugh—"You have released the whirlwind." That is what was said. Do not let this stand.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

Cheryl L. Johnson, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly an enrolled bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 4591. An act to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit to Congress periodic reports on the costs, performance metrics, and outcomes of the Department of Veterans Affairs Electronic Health Record Modernization program.

Kevin F. McCumber, Deputy Clerk of the House, further reported and found truly enrolled bills of the House of the following titles, which were thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 735. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 502 East Cotati Avenue in Cotati, California, as the "Arturo L. Ibleto Post Office Building".

H.R. 767. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 40 Fulton Street in Middletown, New York, as the "Benjamin A. Gilman Post Office Building".

H.R. 1170. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 1 League in Irvine, California, as the "Tuskegee Airman Lieutenant Colonel Robert J. Friend Memorial Post Office Building".

H.R. 1444. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 132 North Loudoun Street, Suite 1 in Winchester, Virginia, as the "Patsy Cline Post Office".

H.R. 2324. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2800 South Adams Street in Tallahassee, Florida, as the "D. Edwina Stephens Post Office".

SENATE ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The Speaker announced her signature to an enrolled bill of the Senate of the following title:

S. 3823.—An act to amend title 11, United States Code, to modify the eligibility requirements for a debtor under chapter 13, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 11(b) of House Resolution 188, the House stands adjourned until noon on Monday next for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

Thereupon (at 1 o'clock and 52 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Monday, June 13, 2022, at noon for morning-hour debate.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

EC-4324. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's withdrawal of direct final rule—Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries [EPA-HQ-OLEM-2021-0946 FRL-9334.1-02-OLEM] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4325. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Air Plan Approval; New Hampshire; Env-A 800 Testing and Monitoring Procedures, Env-A 619.03 PSD Program Requirements, and Env-A 1200 VOC RACT [EPA-R01-OAR-2021-0785; FRL-9591-02-R1] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4326. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Trans-anethole; Tolerance Exemption [EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0900; FRL-9763-01-OCSP] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4327. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Cell Walls of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; Tolerance Exemption [EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0545; FRL-9761-01-OCSP] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4328. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Hydrolyzed Vegetable Proteins from Soy; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0204; FRL-9556-01-OCSP] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of the rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia: Committee on Agriculture. Supplemental report on H.R. 7606. A bill to establish the Office of the Special Investigator for Competition Matters within the Department of Agriculture (Rept. 117-357 Pt. 2).

Mr. GRIJALVA: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 2773. A bill to amend the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act to make supplemental funds available for management of fish and wildlife species of greatest conservation need as determined by State fish and wildlife agencies, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 117-359). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Ms. BONAMICI (for herself, Mr. DEUTCH, Mr. CRIST, Ms. NORTON, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Ms. LOIS FRANKEL of Florida, Mr. TONKO, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. LOWENTHAL, Ms. PINGREE, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. SWALWELL, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. NADLER, Mr. CARSON, Ms. TITUS, Mr. SOTO, Mr.